0 LC 72 - 0927- A 28 AUG 1972

2 8 AUG 197

Robert L.

Mr. Raymond Jacobson, Director Bureau of Policies and Standards Civil Service Commission Washington, D. C. 20415

Dear Mr. Jacobson:

This is to thank you for sending us a copy of Chairman Hampton's 10 August 1972 letter to Chairman Celler concerning Title II of H. R. 12652 and to request your views on the enclosed proposed letter to Chairman Celler from the Director.

Chairman Hampton's letter presents a strong and persuasive case and our only hope is that it will have the impact which it merits.

A thought that has occurred to us is that it may be helpful if someone would alert the Judicial Conference of the United States to the impending legislation and get them to weigh in on those aspects principally concerned with judicial administration. This would include (I) the Conference's 1969 disapproval of that element of the bill which would permit court access prior to the exhaustion of administrative remedies, and (2) resistance to legislation which would increase the case burden on the Federal judiciary which was the subject of Chief Justice Burger's comments to the American Bar Association in San Francisco last week (newsstory enclosed) and which was a point which had favorable impact on the few occasions when we used it on the Hill. It would seem that the Judicial Conference would carry great weight with Chairman Celler and his colleagues.

If you would be kind enough to give us your views on the enclosed proposed letter as soon as it is convenient, it would be helpful as we have been advised by the House Judiciary Committee staff members that a letter from the Director to Chairman Celler would be helpful.

Sincerely,

7s/ GEORGE I. CARY, JR.,

George L. Cary, Jr. Acting Legislative Counsel

Enclosure

Approved For Release 2005/08/03: CIA-RDP81-00818R000100050011-7

By Charlotte Moulton United Press International

SAN FRANCISCO, Aug. 14 -Chief Justice Warren E. Burger suggested today that because of an "explosive increase" in federal lawsuits, Congress give more attention to the practical effects of legislation that creates work for federal courts.

Noting that the lawmakers now require federal agencies to prepare an "Environmental Impact" statement for such projects as new highways, the Chief Justice said the same kind of background on the effect of a new law could be delivered to the House and Senate Judiciary Committees by whatever congressional committee is proposing the bill.

In his annual "State of the Judiciary" message to the opening assembly of the American Bar Association, Burger also pleaded for more judges and probation officers. the abolishing of three-judge federal courts, and more funds for research in judicial administration.

He called on the ABA to support legislation now before Congress looking toward realignment of the present 11 federal circuits to allow for population shifts and prepare "for the onslaught of litigation in the final third of the century"

He said appeals in the 11 courts of appeals have increased from 4,200 in 1962 to 14,500 in 1972. In the same period, filings in U.S. district courts have increased from 92,000 to 145,000 and the projection for 1990 is 350,000.

Burger said there are now 620 federal judges but 900 will be needed by 1980, with 40 or 50 more needed right now.

Describing the need for probation officers, who supervise convicted persons not sout convicted [all December 1] come of the 6th offleers now coelding base easy toads from 160 to 200 when they almuld Elloa atomi dita

Three-judge courts, composed of a mixture of district and circuit judges, were origi- man violates a safety regulanally established so as not to tion, should he be subjected to place on a single judge the re-criminal penalties or would a sponsibility for resolving im- better remedy be to close portant constitutional chal-down his business concern lenges to state and federal until he is in compliance with laws and to provide an imme-the regulation?" diate appeal to the Supreme asked. Court.

justified.

At the opening of the ABA meeting Sunday, a high Nixon party, he said. administration official sugcluded because it was illegally protection. obtained.

division, also proposed tailor-differentiate?" ing the rights of defendants to the severity of the crime with which they are charged.

"It should not be necessary to provide the same degree of protection" to a defendant in a murder case and one who has been charged with public intoxication, Petersen said. He suggested for example, that six-member instead of 12-member juries could be used in cases including minor offenses.

As for the use of illegally obtained evidence, "unlike coerced confessions, probative evidence is reliable, regardless of the manner in which it is seized," Petersen said.

Petersen made his suggestions for altering the criminal process in a speech before the ABA's section on bar activities.

He suggested taking such offenses as traffic violations out of the eriminal justice process and Imposing other than evinthat penalties in certain indmarcen.

"For example, if a business-Petersen

He said that civil adminis-Burger said they have dis-trative proceedings also offer rupted the judges' work and possibilities for taking the load off the courts. Another their existence is no longer strong deterrent to crime would be making the offender restore the loss to the injured

Petersen said it was the "demands of everyday reality that gested curtailing the rights of led me to question the basic criminal defendants in some proposition involved—that is, cases and allowing the introduction of evidence now ex- fendant the same degree of

Assistant Attorney General so in order to assure justice? Henry E. Petersen, head of the Second, can the system conJustice Department's criminal tinue to function if we do not

24 August 1972

DRAFT - JMM

The Honorable Emanuel Celler Chairman, House Judiciary Committee House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

My dear Mr. Chairman:

I am writing to tell you of my very serious concern over the effects upon this Agency of certain provisions of Title II of H.R. 12652. I believe that Chairman Hampton, of the Civil Service Commission, has written to you expressing his concern over the effects of this legislation on agencies of the Executive Branch in general, and I fully subscribe to the points I am told he made in his letter. In addition, however, I am especially disturbed over the impact this legislation would have on certain responsibilities and authorities relating to the protection of intelligence sources and methods.

This Agency's views on bills identical to this proposed legislation have been made known to the Chairman of the Senate Constitutional Rights Subcommittee and the Chairman and members of the House Employee Benefits Subcommittee, and I enclose for your information copies of the relevant correspondence. Chairman Hebert and Chairman Mahon, who as you know share congressional oversight responsibility for this Agency, have fully supported our position in this respect and have on an earlier occasion communicated their views to Chairman Dulski, of the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee.

Approved For Release 2005/08/03 : CIA-RDP81-00818R000100050011-7

Because I am convinced that the legislation in question could have a major impact on the security discipline and operational effectiveness of this Agency, we would very much appreciate an opportunity to meet with

you at your convenience to explain in detail the reasons for our concern.

Sincerely,

Richard Helms Director

Enclosures